Case3:12-cv-00045-JSW Document70 Filed02/07/13 Page1 of 3

1 2 3 4 5 6	DENNIS J. HERRERA, State Bar #139669 City Attorney CHERYL ADAMS, State Bar #164194 Chief Trial Deputy BRADLEY A. RUSSI, State Bar #256993 Deputy City Attorney Fox Plaza 1390 Market Street, Sixth Floor San Francisco, California 94102-5408 Telephone: (415) 554-3964 Facsimile: (415) 554-3837		
7	E-Mail: brad.russi@sfgov.org		
8 9	Attorneys for Defendants CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, et al.		
0			
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
13	JAMESON ASHLEY,	Case No. CV-12-0045 JSW	
4	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION REGARDING INDEPENDENT MENTAL EXAMINATION OF PLAINTIFF; [PROPOSED] ORDER	
15	VS.		
16 17 18	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, MICHAEL HENNESSEY, individually and in his official capacity as Sheriff of the San Francisco County Sheriff's Department; and DOES 1 to 30,	Trial Date:	April 29, 2013
19	Defendants.		
20		l	
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

1

Stip. re. IME Ashley v. CCSF, et al.; CV-12-0045 JSW

The parties stipulate as follows: 1 1. On December 13, 2012, the parties submitted a joint letter regarding a discovery 2 dispute over the terms of Defendants' proposed independent mental examination of Plaintiff. (Dkt. 3 62). That dispute was referred to Magistrate Judge Westmore. Judge Westmore requested that the 4 parties submit supporting declarations on January 28, 2013. (Dkt. 67). 5 Plaintiff submitted a declaration from Dr. Michael Wilkes, who stated that due to a 2. 6 recent change in Plaintiff's condition, it is not medically advisable for Plaintiff to undergo an IME at 7 8 this time, and that it could be harmful to him. (Dkt. 68). 3. 9 As a result of this changed circumstance, the parties agree that no determination should be made on the terms of Defendants' proposed IME at this time. 10 4. The parties agree that Judge Westmore should retain jurisdiction of this dispute, and 11 12 that the parties will file a joint letter updating the court on the status of the dispute within sixty days of 13 the date of the attached order. 5. The parties agree to submit a separate request to continue the outstanding deadlines in 14 this case in order to accommodate the pending issue of the IME of Plaintiff. 15 Dated: February 7, 2013 16 17 DENNIS J. HERRERA City Attorney 18 CHERYL ADAMS Chief Trial Attorney 19 BRADLEY A. RUŠSI Deputy City Attorney 20 /s/ Bradley A. Russi 21 BRADLEY A. RUSSI Attorneys for Defendants 22 CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, et al. 23 Dated: February 7, 2013 SIMS, CURRAN & OCKEN 24 25 /s/ Stuart Curran* STUART CURRAN 26 Attorney for Plaintiff JAMESON ASHLEY 27 * Pursuant to General Order 45, § X.B., the filer of this document attests that he has received the concurrence of this signatory to file this document. 28

2

Stip. re. IME

Ashley v. CCSF, et al.; CV-12-0045 JSW

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Pursuant to the above stipulation, within sixty days of the date of this order, the parties are ordered to file a joint letter updating the court as to the status of the discovery dispute regarding Defendants' proposed independent mental examination of Plaintiff.

Dated: _2/7/2013 _____

HONORABLE KANDIS A. WESTMORE United States Magistrate Judge